Groupe de Recherche et d'Evaluation en Dermatologie et Cosmétologie

Final Report August 9, 2011

CLINICAL EVALUATION OF AN ANTI-CELLULITE DEVICE (i-LIPO $^{\mathrm{TM}}$)

S. BOISNIC MD

Dermatologist, Anatomopathologist Director of research GREDECO Associate Professor at the University of Paris Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital

L. BENICHOU MD

Clinical consultant

<u>Laboratoires</u>: 45 Bd Vincent Auriol 75 013 Paris

Tel: 01-45-86-58-82 gredeco@orange.fr

Groupe de Recherche et d'Evaluation en Dermatologie et Cosmétologie

I. Introduction

The orange peel syndrome, cottage cheese skin, and the mattress phenomenon are all

terms used to describe cellulite, the lumpy deposits of dimpled fat that plagues the thighs and

buttocks of millions of individuals around the world. It is believed to affect more than 80%

of women in Europe and America. Cellulite is not a medical or scientific term but it is

commonly used term to describe the pitting, bulging and deformation of the skin usually

affecting the thighs, buttocks, hips, breasts and the abdomen. Over the years there has been

extensive debate concerning what actually constitutes cellulite and whether it differs from

other fat cells in the body. Chemical analysis has shown that cellulite is the same as ordinary

fat cells and that it is not a unique substance.

Fat cells make up adipose tissue, which is held together by a network of fibers that are

nourished and cleansed by body fluids. Fat lobules are cut off by fibrosis divisions

perpendicular to the surface of the skin. These lobules protrude in the dermis and attract the

epidermis. Adipose cells fatten and proliferate, modifying vessels, leading to oedema. Poor

circulation can result in a slowing down of the cleansing process with an accumulation of

waste materials that thicken and harden into immovable pockets of fat causing a dimple

effect. The lipolytic process occurs when adipocytes' triglycerides are transformed into

glycerol by triglycerid lipase enzymes. Lipogenesis in the adipocytes has to be combated

against or lipids destroyed in order to obtain smoother and firmer skin.

Many publications have described the effects of photo-biology using low level lasers

operating in the red spectrum and near infrared wavelengths. Among these effects are those

specific to adipose tissue. Recent experiments have shown that exposure to low-level red

laser light induces the release of fat cells' content into interstitial space by increasing the size

of cell membranes' pores. Red light also stimulates the synthesis of cells in the dermis and

therefore may help in skin restructurization after the reduction of fatty tissue. Relapse of

cellulitis may also be prevented with the use of such treatment.

Groupe de Recherche et d'Evaluation en Dermatologie et Cosmétologie

The objective of the study was to evaluate the anti cellulite effect of a device, i-LipoTM,

which emits low-level laser energy through the skin at a wavelength of 650 nm. The system is

composed of a command interface with a digital screen, 3 command buttons, light weight

laser units that are attached to the body with Velcro straps, and lymphatic stimulators. The

system emits predetermined wavelengths of visible red laser light that empties the membrane

contents of adipose cells on targeting areas of the body. The triglycerides that are released

from the disintegrated membranes of the cells move into interstitial spaces were they are

slowly evacuated by the natural metabolic functions of the body.

A clinical study comprising 15 volunteers evaluated the improvement of cellulite

following 8 sessions of the device lasting 20 minutes each.

II. Materials and Methods

15 patients with phototypes beween II and V and between the ages of 20 and 63 were

selected and included in the study. The study comprised 12 women and 3 men who had

expressly made the decision to improve their overall diet and exercise regime with the goal of

weight loss depicted by a loss of 1-2 dress sizes in an anatomical zone. The anatomical area

of focus was chosen according to « resistant » areas that were not improving despite regular

gym exercise. The thighs were the target zone for 7 of the participants, while the abdomens of

the 8 remaining participants were treated (**Table I**).

Patients were excluded from the study if they were not of appropriate age or if

medical precedents hindered their ability to participate. Contraindications included

pregnancy, epilepsy, thyroid dysfunction, diabetes, cardiac arrhythmias, heart disease or

hypertension, pacemakers, history of cancer (5 years of remission), liver or kidney disease,

photosensitivity to 650-660 nm light, and immuno-suppressed disorders.

SARL au capital de 40 000 Euros. R.C.S. Paris 392 221 230 SIRET392 221 230 00015. APE 731Z. FR61392221230

Groupe de Recherche et d'Evaluation en Dermatologie et Cosmétologie

The Tefal BodySignal2 digital weight scale was used to determine both the overall

weight of the subjects (kg) and also the weight of their fat tissue (kg).

The i-LipoTM device, emitting low-level laser energy, was the device used to administer

cellulite reduction treatment. As previously noted, the system is composed of a command

interface with a digital screen, 3 command buttons, light weight laser units that are attached

to the body with Velcro straps, and lymphatic stimulators.

Statistical analyses were completed using the paired student t-test.

III. Analyses

A) Pre-Treatment Protocol

The 15 subjects' treatment schedule consisted of a total of 8 twenty-minute sessions of

i-LipoTM, at a rate of two twenty-minute sessions per week. The treatment zones were treated

in accordance with the protocol established by ChromogenexTM.

Before the first treatment an echography precisely measured compressible and non-

compressible fat layer thickness. The difference between the two represented the total

amount of adipose tissue identified by echography. The examination was completed within

the 48 H preceding the first measurements and treatment session. The echography was

conducted 5cm under the umbilicus in the case of abdominal treatment and 10cm under the

greater trochanter in the case of saddle bag (thigh) treatment.

The subjects took a Liposcure Test consisting of 20 questions before starting their

treatment to determine their type of cellulite and the corresponding diet that should be

embraced in order to reduce the presence of cellulite. Depending on their responses, subjects

were diagnosed with cellulite with water retention, adipose cellulite, fibrous cellulite or a

combination of adipose with retention of water or adipose-fibrous cellulite. A detailed list of

recommended foods, as well as foods to reduce or eliminate was given to each subject.

Groupe de Recherche et d'Evaluation en Dermatologie et Cosmétologie

B) Session Protocol

The precise circumferential measurements of the treatment area were taken on a standing

patient immediately before and after the session. For subjects undergoing treatment of the

abdomen, a measurement of the circumference of the waist was effectuated aimed at a point

in the center of the zone of treatment for each subject. For those undergoing treatment of the

thighs, measurement was taken around each circumference of each thigh. The weight,

including measurement of fat in kilograms (BMI/BFI) was taken before and after each

session. Jewelry and clothing except for undergarments were removed for the weight

measurements. Photographs were taken in standardized conditions before each treatment

session in order to document the pre-treatment appearance of each subject.

Subjects were bound to prescribed conditions related to their eating habits 2 hours before

and after treatment and were specifically invited to avoid heavy meals, fasting, fizzy drinks,

coffee or tea. Treatment around menstruation was also discouraged due to hormonal

fluctuations in the body. Subjects were urged to practice a cardio-vascular activity as soon as

possible following the treatment to encourage the body to drain a maximum of adipose fat

from targeted cells in the treatment zone.

C) Post-Treatment Protocol

A post treatment echography was completed within the 48H following the last session of

treatment in order to measure compressible and non-compressible fat layer thickness.

Photographs were taken in standardized conditions to document the changes undergone by

each subject.

5

gredeco@orange.fr

Groupe de Recherche et d'Evaluation en Dermatologie et Cosmétologie

IV. Results

12 women and 3 men with an average age of 42.6 ± 9.87 years were included in the

study. Pre-treatment the subjects weighed an average of 73.38 kg, with an average fat tissue

total of 24.7 kg.

7 subjects underwent treatment for the thighs and 8 subjects for the abdomen

(Table I).

The Liposcore test permitted to determine each subject's cellulite type. 5 had

cellulite with water retention, 5 had adipose cellulite, 3 had fibrous cellulite and 2 had mixed

adipose cellulite with water retention.

There was no reported discomfort or unwanted post treatment effects.

A) Circumferential Measurement of the Treatment Area

Results for the circumference of each subject's right thigh or abdomen in centimeters

(cm) are expressed in **Table II** and are represented in **Figures of subjects 1-14**.

The average circumference of subjects (n=8) treated on the abdominal zone by I-lipoTM

significantly decreased to 105.25 cm from 109.41 cm pre-treatment (p=0.0012). This

represents an equivalent average of 4.16 cm lost in abdominal circumference.

The average circumference of subjects (n=7) treated on the right thigh by I-lipoTM

significantly decreased to 60.04 cm from 61.57 cm pre-treatment (p=0.0302). This

represents a 1.5 cm average reduction in circumference.

B) Echography

Results for the milimeters (mm) of fat in each subject's target zone measured by

echography, are expressed in Table IIIa and IIIb and are represented in Figures of subjects

1 and 3.

For the measurement of fat thickness without compression (Table IIIa) there was a

statistically significant reduction from 47.14 mm to 41.57 mm (p=0.0026) for the thighs,

Groupe de Recherche et d'Evaluation en Dermatologie et Cosmétologie

corresponding to a reduction of 11.8%. For the measurement of fat thickness without

compression there was a statistically significant reduction from 44.6 mm to 39.5 mm (p=0.01)

for the abdomen, corresponding to a reduction of 11.5%.

The average reduction of adipose tissue thickness (Table IIIb) for the abdominal area

was 15.62 mm from 17.37 mm (p=0.02), representing an average reduction of 1.75 mm. This

is an average fat reduction of 8.8%.

Average thighs' adipose tissue thickness reduced after treatment by I-lipoTM from

13.14 mm to 9.14 mm (p=0.0028), representing an average reduction of 4 mm. This is an

average fat reduction of 30.4%.

For all subjects, the difference thickness of adipose tissue with and without

compression reduced 2.8 mm, or 18%.

C) Weight

Results of the weight in kilograms (kg) of each subject are expressed in **Table IV**.

The average amount of weight lost during the course of the treatment was 1.37 kg (p=

0.0016). This represents 1.4% loss of the subjects' total weight following treatment by I-

lipoTM.

On average, those getting treatment for the thighs lost 1 kg (p=0.019) and those

getting treatment for the abdomen lost 1.66 kg (p=0.018). One subject (n°3) lost 5.1 kg, or

4.1% of his weight during the course of the treatment.

D) Adipose Tissue

Results of each subject's overall adipose tissue in the body in kilograms (kg) are

expressed in Table V.

On average the subjects lost 1.11 kg of fatty tissue, (p=0.0048) after treatment by I-

lipoTM. 2.41% was the average reduction of fatty tissue lost on the thighs, versus 4.8% on the

abdomen. One subject (n°8) lost as much as 7.84% of her fatty tissue during the course of

treatment.

Groupe de Recherche et d'Evaluation en Dermatologie et Cosmétologie

IV. Conclusion

This clinical study, incorporating 15 subjects, has permitted to visualize and quantify the statistically significant reduction of abdominal or thigh cellulite after the I-lipoTM treatment. A good correlation was found between the clinical and echographic measurements of the cellulite. The double echographic measurements with and without compression permitted us to identify with more precision the effects of the I-lipoTM treatment on adipose tissue.

The 9th of August, 2011

Paris, France

Doctor Sylvie Boisnic

Table I: Treatment Zones

Subjects (n=7)	Treatment Area	Subjects (n=8)	Treatment Area
N°1	Thighs	N°3	Abdomen
N°2	Thighs	N°4	Abdomen
N°5	Thighs	N°6	Abdomen
N°7	Thighs	N°8	Abdomen
N°10	Thighs	N°9	Abdomen
N°11	Thighs	N°13	Abdomen
N°12	Thighs	N°14	Abdomen
		N°15	Abdomen

Table II : Circumferential Measurement of the Treatment Area (cm)

Abdomen	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 3	130	121	-6.9%
Subject 4	98	97.5	-0.5%
Subject 6	113.8	111.5	-2.0%
Subject 8	136	130.5	-4.0%
Subject 9	94.5	90.5	-4.2%
Subject13	98	94.5	-6.0%
Subject 14	97.5	94.5	-3.0%
Subject 15	107.5	102	-5.1%
Average	109.41	105.25 ± 13.44	
± Standard Deviation	± 14.91	p = 0.0012	

Right Thigh	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 1	61	60	-1.6%
Subject 2	55.5	55	-0.9%
Subject 5	59	58.8	-0.3%
Subject 7	58.5	57.5	-1.7%
Subject 10	68.5	64	-6.6%
Subject 11	55.5	55.5	0%
Subject 12	73	69.5	-4.8%
Average	61.57	60 ± 4.77	
\pm Standard Deviation	± 6.2	p = 0.03	

^{*} statistical difference in comparison with Day 0 (paired t-Student test)

Table IIIa: Echography of fat tissue thickness (mm): Measurement of fat thickness without compression

Abdomen	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 3	78	63	-19.23%
Subject 4	41	31	-24.39%
Subject 6	41	37	-9.76%
Subject 8	63	60	-4.76%
Subject 9	41	37	-9.76%
Subject13	30	30	0.00%
Subject 14	34	31	-8.82%
Subject 15	29	27	-6.90%
Average +	44.62	39.5 ± 13.11	
Standard Deviation	± 16	p=0.01	

Right Thigh	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 1	51	40	-21.57%
Subject 2	39	35	-10.26%
Subject 5	70	61	-12.86%
Subject 7	27	24	-11.11%
Subject 10	55	53	-3.64%
Subject 11	41	38	-7.32%
Subject 12	47	40	-14.89%
Average +		41.57 ± 11.20	
Standard Deviation	47.14 ± 12.59	p=0.0026	

^{*} statistical difference in comparison with Day 0 (paired t-Student test)

Table IIIb: Echography of fat tissue: Difference of measurement of fat thickness with and without compression (mm)

Abdomen	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 3	31	25	-19.4%
Subject 4	13	13	0%
Subject 6	16	14	-12.5%
Subject 8	26	25	-3.8%
Subject 9	18	15	-16.7%
Subject13	12	12	0%
Subject 14	14	13	-7.1%
Subject 15	9	8	-9.9%
Average ± Standard Deviation	17.37 ± 7.00	$ 15.62 \pm 5.74 \\ p = 0.0206 $	

Right Thigh	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 1	19	12	-36.8%
Subject 2	8	5	-37.5%
Subject 5	15	8	-46.7%
Subject 7	7	6	-14.3%
Subject 10	23	18	-21.7%
Subject 11	13	12	-7.7%
Subject 12	7	3	-57.1%
Average	13.14	9.14 ± 4.79	
± Standard Deviation	± 5.82	p = 0.0028	

^{*} statistical difference in comparison with Day 0 (paired t-Student test)

Table IV: Weight (kg)

Abdomen	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 3	124.6	119.5	-4.1%
Subject 4	60	60	0%
Subject 6	82.2	82	-0.25%
Subject 8	110	106.1	-3.5%
Subject 9	64.3	63.1	-1.8%
Subject13	60.7	60	-1.2%
Subject 14	80.9	79.8	-1.4%
Subject 15	90.2	89.1	-1.2%
Average ± Standard Deviation	84.11 ± 22.03	82.45 ± 20.51 p = 0.0186	

Right Thigh	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 1	62.9	61.7	-1.9%
Subject 2	48.6	48.2	-0.8%
Subject 5	73.3	71	-3.1%
Subject 7	58	57.4	-1%
Subject 10	71.1	68.5	-3.7%
Subject 11	46.4	46.6	+0.4%
Subject 12	67.5	67.1	-0.6%
Average	61.1	60.1 ± 9.04	
\pm Standard Deviation	± 9.82	p = 0.019	

^{*} statistical difference in comparison with Day 0 (paired t-Student test)

Table V: Adipose Tissue (kg)

Abdomen	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 3	41.9	38.9	-7.2%
Subject 4	20.7	20.7	0.0%
Subject 6	32	30.2	-5.6%
Subject 8	52.3	48.2	-7.8%
Subject 9	23	21.9	-4.8%
Subject13	19.8	19.7	-0.5%
Subject 14	20.4	19.8	-2.9%
Subject 15	19.3	18.9	-2.1%
Average ± Standard Deviation	28.68 ± 11.6	27.3 ± 10.23 $p = 0.016$	

Right Thigh	Day 0	End of Treatment	% Change
Subject 1	20.8	20.5	-1.4%
Subject 2	11.4	11.9	+4.4%
Subject 5	30.5	29.3	-3.9%
Subject 7	16.4	16	-2.4%
Subject 10	28.1	27.1	-3.6%
Subject 11	13	13.4	+3.1%
Subject 12	21	19.6	-6.7%
Average		19.69 ± 6.12	
± Standard Deviation	20.17 ± 6.69	p = 0.07	

^{*} statistical difference in comparison with Day 0 (paired t-Student test)